arXiv:1210.7916v2 [hep-th] 31 Oct 2012

Prepared for submission to JHEP

Self-interacting mass-dimension one fields for any spin

Cheng-Yang Lee

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutherford Building
University of Canterbury, Private bag 4800, Christchurch 8020, New Zealand

E-mail: chengyanglee45@gmail.com

Abstract: We generalise the self-interacting mass-dimension one spin-half Elko fields to any spin. These fields inherit the unusual properties of the spin-half fields. They all have mass-dimension one, renormalisable self-interactions and satisfy the Klein-Gordon instead of the higher-spin generalisation of the Dirac equation. Additionally, they all break Lorentz symmetry. The locality structure, free propagators and free Hamiltonians are obtained. The fields are shown to be local. The bosonic and fermionic free Hamiltonians have positive and vanishing vacuum energies respectively.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Elko for any spin **2**
2.1 Dual coefficients 5
2.2 Spin-sums and preferred plane 7
2.3 Spinor equations 12

3 Mass-dimension one fields **13**
3.1 Free propagator 15
3.2 Canonical variables 16
3.3 Free Hamiltonian 17

4 Conclusions **18**

A Lorentz invariant fields for any spin **19**

B Spin-half Elko **21**

C Propagator **22**

---

1 Introduction

According to the conventional wisdom, spin-half quantum fields uniquely satisfy the Dirac equation and are of mass-dimension three-half. In 2005, a counterexample was found by Ahluwalia and Grumiller [1, 2] and it has since been further developed in refs. [3, 4]. These works proved by construction, the existence of self-interacting spin-half quantum fields of mass-dimension one and they satisfy the Klein-Gordon but not the Dirac equation. In this paper, we generalise these results by constructing self-interacting mass-dimension one quantum fields for any spin.

The mass-dimension one spin-half quantum fields are constructed using Elko as expansion coefficients. Elko is a German acronym for *Eigenspinoren des Ladungskonjugationsoperators*. In English, it means eigenspinor of the charge conjugation operator. The precise mathematical definition of Elko is given in the next section. Here we construct Elko for any spin and then use them as expansion coefficients for the quantum field.

It is worthwhile to note that prior to the introduction of Elko, Ahluwalia has investigated the properties of higher-spin Majorana spinors [5]. We will not elaborate on the similarities and differences between the spin-half Elko and Majorana spinors, a detail exposition on this issue can be found in ref. [4]. We find, many results obtained by Ahluwalia on higher-spin Majorana spinors are in agreement with the results presented in this paper.

But of course, given the additional insights gained from studying spin-half Elko, the certain results such as the field equation, propagator and the physical interpretation of Elko differ from the original ones given in ref. [5].

In refs. [3, 4] it was shown that although the spin-half Elko transforms correctly under the finite-dimensional $(j,0)\oplus(0,j)$ representation of the Lorentz group, the quantum fields violate Lorentz symmetry. This result was later generalised to Elko for any spin [6].[1] This immediately raises the question on whether the quantum fields under consideration are well-defined. A partial answer was provided by Ahluwalia and Horvath [7] showing that the spin-half Elko spin-sums are covariant under VSR transformations proposed by Cohen and Glashow [8]. Here we will not pursue this direction any further as our primary goal is to construct and study the general properties of Elko and their quantum fields for any spin. Furthermore, we restrict ourselves to Lorentz instead of VSR transformations. This is because the properties of spin-half Elko remain unchanged under Lorentz and VSR transformations and we expect this to hold for any spin. Additionally, the general structure of Lorentz transformations is better understood and hence easier to manipulate when performing calculations.

There now exists an extensive literature devoted to Elko. In cosmology, various authors have shown that Elko can be used to generate inflation [9–21] and Liu et al. have studied Elko in the brane world scenario [22]. The mathematical properties of Elko have been studied in detail by da Rocha et al. [23–29]. In quantum field theory, Fabbri showed that Elko does not violate causality [30–32]. Wunderle and Dick have used Elko to construct supersymmetric Lagrangians for fermionic fields of mass dimension one [33, 34]. But so far, all these results are restricted to the spin-half case. The purpose of this paper is therefore twofold. First to explore the general quantum field theoretic properties of Elko and second to encourage the theoretical physics community to do the same in their areas of expertise.

The paper is organised as follow. In sec. 2 we construct Elko for any spin. This includes all the essential properties of Elko that are needed to construct a quantum field. In sec. 3, we construct and study quantum fields for any spin using Elko as expansion coefficients. After deriving the propagators and Lagrangian densities, we study the spin-statistics using canonical variables and compute the Hamiltonian. We show that the Elko fields for any spin are local and that the definitions of the conjugate momenta must be modified for the free Hamiltonians to be physical. The resulting free bosonic and fermionic Hamiltonians are positive-definite but now have positive and vanishing vacuum energies respectively. Finally, we discuss the possible connexion between Elko fields for any spin and dark matter.

2 Elko for any spin

We follow the procedure outlined in refs [1–4] to construct Elko for any spin. The first task is to define a suitable charge conjugation operator $\mathcal{C}$ in the $(j,0)\oplus(0,j)$ representation of the Lorentz group. Here, we define this operator as[2]

---

[1] We are using the term *spin* loosely. By a spin-j field, it is meant that the spinors transform under the finite dimensional $(j,0)\oplus(0,j)$ representation.

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\Theta^{-1} \\ -i\Theta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the complex conjugation operator acting to the right. The matrix Θ is the Wigner time-reversal operator of dimension $(2j+1)\times(2j+1)$ defined by

$$\Theta\mathbf{J}\Theta^{-1} = -\mathbf{J}^* \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbf{J} = (J^1, J^2, J^3)$ are the rotation generators of the $(j,0)$ and $(0,j)$ representations. We adopt the basis where J^3 is diagonal so that $\mathbf{J}$ are given by [35, eqs. (2.5.21-2.5.22)]

$$\begin{aligned} (J^1 \pm iJ^2)_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}} &= \delta_{\sigma,\bar{\sigma}\pm 1}\sqrt{(j\mp\bar{\sigma})(j\pm\bar{\sigma}+1)}, \\ (J^3)_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}} &= \sigma\delta_{\sigma\bar{\sigma}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\sigma = -j\cdots j$. To prove the existence of Θ, we solve for each of the component of $\mathbf{J}$ and apply the complex conjugation operation. This gives us the following identity

$$\mathbf{J}^*_{\bar{\sigma}\sigma} = -(-1)^{\bar{\sigma}-\sigma}\mathbf{J}_{-\bar{\sigma},-\sigma}. \tag{2.4}$$

Rewriting this equation in matrix form, we see that it is equivalent to eq. (2.2). The general solution for Θ (up to a sign) is then[3]

$$\Theta_{\bar{\sigma}\sigma} = (-1)^{-j-\bar{\sigma}}\delta_{-\bar{\sigma},\sigma} \tag{2.5}$$

which guarantees the existence of the Wigner time-reversal operator for any spin.

We are now ready to construct Elko for any spin. We start the construction by adopting the standard polarisation basis, introducing a set of spinors $\phi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)$ of the $(0,j)$ representation as eigenspinors of J^3

$$J^3\phi(\mathbf{0},\sigma) = \sigma\phi(\mathbf{0},\sigma) \tag{2.6}$$

where $\sigma = -j,\cdots,j$ spanning the eigenvalues of J^3. In the component form, we take the solutions of $\phi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)$ (up to a constant) to be

$$\phi_\ell(\mathbf{0},\sigma) = \sqrt{m}\delta_{\ell\sigma} \tag{2.7}$$

with m being the mass of the particle. The spinors of arbitrary momentum $\phi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)$ are obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathbf{p},\sigma) &= \exp\left[i\mathbf{K}^{(0,j)}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right] \\ &= \exp(-\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi})\phi(\mathbf{0},\sigma) \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathbf{K}^{(0,j)} = i\mathbf{J}$ in the $(0,j)$ representation. The rapidity parameter $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is defined as

$$\cosh\varphi = \frac{\sqrt{|\mathbf{p}|^2+m^2}}{m}, \qquad \sinh\varphi = \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{m}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \varphi\hat{\mathbf{p}}. \tag{2.9}$$

---

[2] See app. A for details on the derivation of $\mathcal{C}$.

[3] We define Θ such that when $j = 1/2$, $\Theta = -i\sigma^2$ where σ^2 is the second component of the Pauli matrix.

It follows from the existence of Θ and eq. (2.2) that the set of complex conjugated spinors $[\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{0},\sigma)]$ where ϑ is a phase to be determined transform under the $(j,0)$ representation

$$\begin{aligned}[\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{p},\sigma)] &= \exp\left[i\mathbf{K}^{(j,0)}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right][\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{0},\sigma)] \\ &= \exp\left[\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right][\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{0},\sigma)] \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\mathbf{K}^{(j,0)} = -i\mathbf{J}$. Acting J^3 on $[\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{0},\sigma)]$, we see that they have opposite spin-projection with respect to $\phi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)$

$$J^3[\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{0},\sigma)] = -\sigma[\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{0},\sigma)]. \tag{2.11}$$

Combining the spinors given by eqs. (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain a set of $2\times(2j+1)$ component spinors of the $(j,0)\oplus(0,j)$ representation of the form

$$\chi(\mathbf{0},\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix}\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\\ \phi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.12}$$

Their transformation under boost is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma) &= \kappa(\mathbf{p})\chi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\\ &= \begin{pmatrix}\exp(\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}) & 0\\ 0 & \exp(-\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi})\end{pmatrix}\chi(\mathbf{0},\sigma).\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

These spinors become eigenspinors of the charge conjugation operator and hence Elko for any spin when $\vartheta = \pm i$

$$\mathcal{C}\chi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)|_{\vartheta=\pm i} = \mp(-1)^{2j}\chi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)|_{\vartheta=\pm i}. \tag{2.14}$$

This relation holds for arbitrary momentum since $\mathcal{C}$ commutes with $\kappa(\mathbf{p})$ defined in eq. (2.13). We note, when j takes half-integral or integral values, the eigenvalues of Elko are even or odd with respect to the signs of the phase ϑ. It is important to note that this is not in contradiction with the result obtained in ref. [5]. The spin-one bosonic charge-conjugation matrix of [5, eq. (8)] differs from $\mathcal{C}^{(j=1)}$ up to a multiplication of a constant matrix. Once this differences is accounted for, we see that eq. (2.14) is equivalent to [5, eq. (12)].

In order to construct Elko fields, we follow the general structure of quantum field theory that a field operator must have two sets of expansion coefficients associated with its particle and anti-particle. Therefore, we introduce the self-conjugate ($\vartheta = i$) and anti-self conjugate ($\vartheta = -i$) spinors

$$\xi(\mathbf{0},\sigma) = \chi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)|_{\vartheta=i,\phi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\rightarrow\rho(\mathbf{0},\sigma)} \tag{2.15a}$$

$$\zeta(\mathbf{0},\sigma) = \alpha(\sigma)\chi(\mathbf{0},-\sigma)|_{\vartheta=-i,\phi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\rightarrow\varrho(\mathbf{0},\sigma)} \tag{2.15b}$$

with

$$\rho_\ell(\mathbf{0},\sigma) = c\sqrt{m}\delta_{\ell\sigma}, \qquad \varrho_\ell(\mathbf{0},\sigma) = d\sqrt{m}\delta_{\ell\sigma} \tag{2.16}$$

where c and d are proportionality constants to be determined and $\alpha(\sigma)$ is a phase. The reason behind the introduction of $\rho(\mathbf{0},\sigma)$ and $\varrho(\mathbf{0},\sigma)$ will become apparent in sec. 3.

The spinor labels and the phase $\alpha(\sigma)$ are non-trivial. For the spin-half fields, the solutions are carefully chosen by the demand of locality by the demand of locality when the particles are taken to be Majorana fermions [3, 4]. As for quantum fields of any spin, consistency demands the general solutions for Elko to coincide with the spin-half case when $j=1/2$. However, it is beyond the scope of this paper to analyse the general locality structure and determine $\alpha(\sigma)$ when the particles are Majorana. Instead, we focus our attention on the quantum fields with distinct particles and anti-particles where the locality structure are insensitive to the choice of $\alpha(\sigma)$.

It is important to note that the original spin-half Elko were constructed in the helicity basis where the two component Weyl spinors of the $(0,1/2)$ representation are eigenspinors of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{p}}$. It was later discovered that in the polarisation basis, in addition to the labels and phases, the rest spinors must also contain information of a preferred direction under boost for the fields to be local [4, eqs. (17-18)].[4] We will have more to say about this issue in sec. 2.2. But so far, for the sake of simplifying the calculation, it is not necessary to introduce the required phases in the polarisation basis. Later, we will obtain Elko in helicity basis by performing a unitary transformation.

2.1 Dual coefficients

In the $(j,0)\oplus(0,j)$ representation, the dual coefficients of an arbitrary spinor $\psi(\mathbf{p})$ is usually defined as

$$\overline{\psi}(\mathbf{p})\equiv\psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})\Gamma. \tag{2.17}$$

By the demand of Lorentz-invariant norm for the spinors, the matrix Γ is constrained by the following conditions

$$[\Gamma,\mathbf{J}]=\{\Gamma,\mathbf{K}\}=O. \tag{2.18}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ are Hermitian and anti-Hermitian respectively. Solving the equations and set the appropriate proportionality constants to unity, we obtain

$$\Gamma=\begin{pmatrix} O & I\\ I & O\end{pmatrix} \tag{2.19}$$

where the I represents the $(2j+1)\times(2j+1)$ identity matrix. Under this dual, the inner-product between the spinors as well as their quantum fields would be Lorentz invariant thus allowing us to construct Lorentz invariant Lagrangian densities.

However, Lorentz invariance of the dual alone does not imply that it is universally applicable. In fact eq. (2.17) is not the correct dual for Elko. Explicit computations show that the following inner-products identically vanish

$$\overline{\xi}(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\xi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)=\overline{\zeta}(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\zeta(\mathbf{0},\sigma)=0. \tag{2.20}$$

For the purpose of constructing Lagrangian densities, apart from the Lorentz invariance of the inner-product, the norms of the coefficients must also be non-vanishing and orthonormal. Equation (2.20) shows that eq. (2.17) is inadequate for Elko and must therefore be replaced. The solution to this problem was first found by Ahluwalia in ref.[5] and further developed by Ahluwalia and Grumiller [1, 2]. Here, we show that their result can be trivially generalised to higher spin. Their solution is based on the observation that not all the inner-products under eq. (2.17) are vanishing. In fact they can be generically written as

---

[4] See app. B for details.

$$\bar{\xi}(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\xi(\mathbf{0},\sigma') = im(-1)^{-j+\sigma}\left[c^{*2}-(-1)^{-2\sigma}c^2\right]\delta_{\sigma,-\sigma'}, \tag{2.21a}$$

$$\bar{\zeta}(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\zeta(\mathbf{0},\sigma') = -im(-1)^{-j+\sigma}\left[d^{*2}-(-1)^{-2\sigma}d^2\right]\delta_{\sigma,-\sigma'}. \tag{2.21b}$$

In light of this observation, we define the dual coefficients for Elko as

$$\overset{\neg}{\xi}(\mathbf{0},\sigma) \equiv \beta(\sigma)\xi^\dagger(\mathbf{0},-\sigma)\Gamma \tag{2.22a}$$

$$\overset{\neg}{\zeta}(\mathbf{0},\sigma) \equiv \beta(\sigma)\zeta^\dagger(\mathbf{0},-\sigma)\Gamma \tag{2.22b}$$

where $\beta(\sigma)$ is a phase to be determined. From eqs. (2.21a) and (2.21b), we find that

$$\bar{\xi}(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\xi(\mathbf{0},-\sigma) = -\bar{\xi}(\mathbf{0},\sigma\pm1)\xi(\mathbf{0},-(\sigma\pm1)) \tag{2.23}$$

and likewise for the anti-self conjugate spinors. For reasons to become apparent in sec. 3.1, we demand all the self conjugate spinors to have the same norm and likewise for their anti-self conjugate counterpart. This is achieved by demanding $\beta(\sigma)$ to satisfy the recursive relation

$$\beta(\sigma) = -\beta(\sigma\pm1). \tag{2.24}$$

Taking $\sigma = j$ as a point of reference, we obtain

$$\beta(\sigma) = (-1)^{j-\sigma}\beta(j) \tag{2.25}$$

where $\sigma = -j,\cdots,+j$.

Computing the Elko norms using eqs. (2.23) and (2.25), we find

$$\overset{\neg}{\xi}(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\xi(\mathbf{0},\sigma') = \begin{cases} im\beta(j)(c^{*2}+c^2)\delta_{\sigma\sigma'} & j=\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots \\ im\beta(j)(c^{*2}-c^2)\delta_{\sigma\sigma'} & j=1,2,\cdots \end{cases} \tag{2.26a}$$

$$\overset{\neg}{\zeta}(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\zeta(\mathbf{0},\sigma') = \begin{cases} -im\beta(j)(d^{*2}+d^2)\delta_{\sigma\sigma'} & j=\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots \\ -im\beta(j)(d^{*2}-d^2)\delta_{\sigma\sigma'} & j=1,2,\cdots . \end{cases} \tag{2.26b}$$

We want the Elko norms to be real, so without the loss of generality, we restrict the proportionality constants c^2 and d^2 to be either purely real or purely imaginary. For the norms to be non-vanishing, the proportionality constants must then satisfy

$$c^{*2} = -(-1)^{2j}c^2, \qquad d^{*2} = -(-1)^{2j}d^2. \tag{2.27}$$

Substituting eq. (2.27) into eqs. (2.26a) and (2.26b), the Elko norms simplify to

$$\overset{\neg}{\xi}(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\xi(\mathbf{0},\sigma') = 2im\beta(j)c^{*2}\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}, \tag{2.28a}$$

$$\overset{\neg}{\zeta}(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\zeta(\mathbf{0},\sigma') = -2im\beta(j)d^{*2}\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}. \tag{2.28b}$$

The phase $\beta(j)$ and the proportionality constants will be determined in sec. 3 when we study the properties of the Elko fields.

2.2 Spin-sums and preferred plane

In the rest frame, using the spinors defined by eqs.(2.15a) and (2.15b), the spin-sums of interests to us are

$$N(\mathbf{0}) = \sum_{\sigma} \xi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\,\vec{\xi}\,(\mathbf{0},\sigma), \tag{2.29a}$$

$$M(\mathbf{0}) = \sum_{\sigma} \zeta(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\,\vec{\zeta}\,(\mathbf{0},\sigma). \tag{2.29b}$$

These spin-sums are important because they determine the propagator and locality structure of the associated quantum fields. We first compute them in the polarisation and then the helicity basis at rest and at arbitrary momentum respectively.

Polarisation basis

As we have mentioned at the end of sec. 2, the spin-half Elko in the polarisation basis must have non-trivial phases to ensure the quantum fields are local. At this stage, we do not know what these specific phases are for Elko of any spin. But fortunately, this is not necessary. By computing $N(\mathbf{0})$ and $M(\mathbf{0})$, we can later obtain the correct spinors and spin-sums of arbitrary momentum in the helicity basis by performing a unitary transformation. The required phases for Elko in the polarisation basis can then be obtained as a limit of their counterpart in the helicity basis.

Computing the spin-sums using eqs. (2.15a) and (2.15b), we get

$$N(\mathbf{0}) = im\beta(j)c^{*2}\begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} + m\beta(j)|c|^2(-1)^{2j}\begin{pmatrix} O & \Theta \\ \Theta & O \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.30a}$$

$$M(\mathbf{0}) = -im\beta(j)d^{*2}\begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} + m\beta(j)|d|^2(-1)^{2j}\begin{pmatrix} O & \Theta \\ \Theta & O \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.30b}$$

To understand why non-trivial phases are required in the polarisation basis, it is sufficient to study the behaviour of $N(\mathbf{p})$

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathbf{p}) &= \sum_{\sigma} \xi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\,\vec{\xi}\,(\mathbf{p},\sigma) \\ &= \kappa(\mathbf{p})N(\mathbf{0})\kappa^{-1}(\mathbf{p}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

where we have used the general identity $\Gamma\kappa^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})\Gamma = \kappa^{-1}(\mathbf{p})$. Expanding $N(\mathbf{0})$ using eq.(2.13) and eq. (2.30a) we obtain

$$N(\mathbf{p}) = im\beta(j)c^{*2}\begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} + m\beta(j)|c|^2(-1)^{2j}\begin{pmatrix} O & e^{\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\Theta e^{\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \\ e^{-\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\Theta e^{-\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}} & O \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.32}$$

For general momentum $\mathbf{p}$, the sub-matrices $e^{\pm\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\Theta e^{\pm\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ are not Lorentz covariant. In fact, due to its non-covariance, the resulting quantum fields would be non-local. These observations are in agreement with the previous results in the literature that the spin-half Elko fields violate Lorentz symmetry [3, 4]. In spite of these difficulties, the work of

Ahluwalia and Horvath suggests Elko may satisfy VSR symmetry [7]. Instead of attempting to determine the underlying symmetry group, we choose to focus on higher spin fields and their implications on particle physics and the general structure of quantum field theory.

Returning to the problem at hand, we note that due to eq. (2.2), the spin-sum is in fact invariant and covariant if it is boosted along the 1, 3-axis and 2-axis respectively

$$N(p^1)=N(p^3)=N(\mathbf{0}), \tag{2.33}$$

$$N(p^2)=im\beta(j)c^{*2}\begin{pmatrix} I & O\\ O & I\end{pmatrix}+m\beta(j)|c|^2\begin{pmatrix} O & e^{2J^2\varphi}\\ e^{-2J^2\varphi} & O\end{pmatrix} \tag{2.34}$$

where the sub-matrices $e^{\pm 2J^2\varphi}$ are Lorentz-covariant.[5] According to eq. (2.34), when the spin-projection of the particle coincides with the direction of the boost (along the 3-axis), the spin-sum remains invariant.[6] Therefore, in the helicity basis where the spin-projections are aligned along the direction of motion, one would expect the spin-sum to be invariant under a general boost.

Helicity basis

Here we determine the spin-sums in the helicity basis by applying a similarity transformation on their counterpart in the polarisation basis. But to achieve this, we first have to determine the relationships between Elko in both basis.

In the helicity basis, the spinors of the $(0,j)$ representation are defined as

$$\mathbf{J}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{p}}\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)=\sigma\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma) \tag{2.35}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}=(\cos\phi\sin\theta,\sin\phi\sin\theta,\cos\theta) \tag{2.36}$$

and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\mathbf{p}|_{|\mathbf{p}|\rightarrow 0}$ instead of $\mathbf{p}=0$ so the spin-projections are aligned along $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$. Since $\mathbf{J}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ is Hermitian and has the same eigenvalue spectrum as J^3, it follows that there exists a unitary matrix $S(\theta,\phi)$ (whose precise form is not of interest to us) such that

$$SJ^3S^\dagger=\mathbf{J}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{p}}. \tag{2.37}$$

Therefore, instead of solving eq. (2.35) for the eigenspinors, we obtain $\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)$ using eq. (2.37) by performing the following unitary transformation

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)=S\phi(\mathbf{0},\sigma). \tag{2.38}$$

Following the same computation that gave us eq. (2.10), we see that $\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)$ transforms under the $(j,0)$ representation and has opposite helicity with respect to $\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)$

$$\mathbf{J}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{p}}\left[\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)\right]=-\sigma\left[\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)\right]. \tag{2.39}$$

---

[5] See ref. [36, app. A] for more details.

[6] The spinors of the $(0,j)$ representation are eigenspinors of J^3, see eq. (2.6).

From eq. (2.37) we also obtain

$$J^3\left[S^{-1}\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\right]=-\sigma\left[S^{-1}\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\right] \tag{2.40}$$

thus allowing us to make the following identification[7]

$$\Theta\phi^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)=S\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{0},\sigma). \tag{2.41}$$

Combining the spinors given by eqs. (2.38) and (2.39), we obtain

$$\chi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)=\begin{pmatrix}\vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)\\ \phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.42}$$

Setting $\vartheta=\pm i$ and choosing the relevant phases we obtain Elko in the helicity basis. Since the definitions of Elko were already given by eqs. (2.15a) and (2.15b) and remain unchanged, we refrain from repeating ourselves. Elko in the helicity and polarisation basis are therefore related by the following unitary transformation

$$\xi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)=\mathcal{S}\xi(\mathbf{0},\sigma),\qquad \zeta(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)=\mathcal{S}\zeta(\mathbf{0},\sigma) \tag{2.43}$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}=\begin{pmatrix}S&O\\O&S\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.44}$$

The self-conjugate spin-sum in the helicity basis is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}N(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})&=\mathcal{S}N(\mathbf{0})\mathcal{S}^\dagger\\ &=im\beta(j)c^{*2}\begin{pmatrix}I&O\\O&I\end{pmatrix}+m\beta(j)|c|^2(-1)^{2j}\begin{pmatrix}O&S\Theta S^\dagger\\S\Theta S^\dagger&O\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

Now we need to boost $N(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$ to arbitrary momentum and determine the precise form of the sub-matrix $S\Theta S^\dagger$. The former task can be accomplished as follow. Noting that the boost along the 3-axis is

$$\kappa(p^3)=\begin{pmatrix}e^{J^3\varphi}&O\\O&e^{-J^3\varphi}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.46}$$

using eq. (2.37), the general boost $\kappa(\mathbf{p})$ can then be obtained by a similarity transformation

$$\kappa(\mathbf{p})=\mathcal{S}\kappa(p^3)\mathcal{S}^\dagger \tag{2.47}$$

where $|\mathbf{p}|=p^3$. But since $N(p^3)=N(\mathbf{0})$, a straightforward calculation shows that the spin-sum in the helicity basis is boost invariant

$$N_h(\mathbf{p})=N(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}). \tag{2.48}$$

---

[7] Rigorously speaking, this identification holds up to a constant. But for $\chi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)$ to be an eigenspinor of the charge conjugation operator, we must take the constant to be unity.

The subscript h indicates the spin-sum of arbitrary momentum is computed in the helicity basis which differs from $N(\mathbf{p})$. From now onwards, whenever confusions may arise, any objects in the helicity basis will be accompanied by the subscript h.

While there is a well-defined procedure to compute $S(\theta,\phi)$ by diagonalising $\mathbf{J}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{p}}$, this is not a practical task for large matrices. Instead, it is easier to determine $S\Theta S^\dagger$ directly. Using eq. (2.2) where $\Theta J^3\Theta^{-1}=-J^3$, we obtain the following condition

$$\{A,\mathbf{J}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{p}}\}=O \tag{2.49}$$

where $A=S\Theta S^\dagger$ must anti-commute with $\mathbf{J}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{p}}$. However, eq. (2.49) can only determine A up to a constant. To determine the constant, we use the $j=1/2$ spin-sum as an initial theoretical data and then assume these properties hold for any spin. When $j=1/2$, the self-conjugate spin-sum, with all the proportionality constants determined is[8]

$$N_h^{(j=1/2)}(\mathbf{p})=m\left[I+\mathcal{G}^{(j=1/2)}(\phi)\right] \tag{2.50}$$

where $\mathcal{G}^{(j=1/2)}(\phi)$ is an off-diagonal matrix of the form

$$\mathcal{G}^{(j=1/2)}(\phi)=i\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&-e^{-i\phi}\\0&0&e^{i\phi}&0\\0&-e^{-i\phi}&0&0\\e^{i\phi}&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.51}$$

As a result of our assumption, the matrix A must be off-diagonal for any spin. An evidence in support of this result is that $\lim_{\theta,\phi\rightarrow 0}A(\theta,\phi)=\Theta$ is an off-diagonal matrix. Therefore, we take A to be

$$A_{\ell m}=g_\ell(\theta,\phi)\delta_{\ell,-m}. \tag{2.52}$$

Substituting eqs. (2.52) and (2.3) into eq. (2.49), we obtain the recursive relation

$$g_{-\sigma}(\theta,\phi)=-g_{-\sigma+1}(\theta,\phi)e^{2i\phi}. \tag{2.53}$$

Equating $N_h(\mathbf{p})$ with $N_h^{(j=1/2)}(\mathbf{p})$ of eq. (2.50), modulo their respective undetermined proportionality constants and the factor of i in $\mathcal{G}^{(j=1/2)}(\phi)$, we get $g_{-1/2}=e^{i\phi}$. Extrapolate this to all spin, we obtain

$$g_{-j}=e^{i\phi}. \tag{2.54}$$

Solving the recursive relation using eq. (2.54), the general solution of $g_\ell(\phi)$ is given by

$$g_\ell=(-1)^{j+\ell}e^{-2i(j+\ell-\frac{1}{2})\phi} \tag{2.55}$$

where $\ell=-j,\cdots j$ so that

$$A_{\ell m}=(-1)^{j+\ell}e^{-2i(j+\ell-\frac{1}{2})\phi}\delta_{\ell,-m}. \tag{2.56}$$

---

[8] We will determine all the proportionality constants of Elko in sec. 3 such that the resulting self-conjugate spin-sum coincides with eq. (2.50) when $j=1/2$.

For example, when $j = 1/2$, we have

$$A^{(j=1/2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{-i\phi} \\ e^{i\phi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.57}$$

and for $j = 1$,

$$A^{(j=1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{-3i\phi} \\ 0 & -e^{-i\phi} & 0 \\ e^{i\phi} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.58}$$

Therefore, in the helicity basis, the self-conjugate and anti-self conjugate spin-sums are given by

$$N_h(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_\sigma \xi_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\, \overset{\neg}{\xi}_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma) = im\beta(j)c^{*2}I + m\beta(j)|c|^2(-1)^{2j}\mathcal{A}, \tag{2.59a}$$

$$M_h(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_\sigma \zeta_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\, \overset{\neg}{\zeta}_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma) = -im\beta(j)d^{*2}I + m\beta(j)|d|^2(-1)^{2j}\mathcal{A} \tag{2.59b}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.60}$$

Now we return to the problem of determining Elko and its spin-sums in the polarisation basis. This can now be solved by noting that the spin-sums in the helicity basis specify a preferred plane with only ϕ-dependence so the limit $\theta \to 0$ does not affect the spin-sum. In this limit, $\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)|_{\theta\to 0}$ becomes a spinor in the polarisation basis in the sense that it is now an eigenspinor of J^3

$$J^3\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)|_{\theta\to 0} = \sigma\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)|_{\theta\to 0}. \tag{2.61}$$

The remaining ϕ-dependence for $\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)|_{\theta\to 0}$ now appears as non-trivial phases. In the spin-half case, these phases ensure the locality of the fields. Therefore, the self-conjugate and anti-self conjugate Elko for any spin in the polarisation basis are given by

$$\xi(\mathbf{0}_\phi,\sigma) = \xi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)|_{\theta\to 0}, \tag{2.62a}$$

$$\zeta(\mathbf{0}_\phi,\sigma) = \zeta(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)|_{\theta\to 0} \tag{2.62b}$$

where subscripts denote the directional-dependence of the rest spinors. Similarly the corresponding Elko of arbitrary momentum is denoted as

$$\xi(\mathbf{p}_\phi,\sigma) = \xi_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)|_{\theta\to 0}, \tag{2.63}$$

$$\zeta(\mathbf{p}_\phi,\sigma) = \zeta_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)|_{\theta\to 0}. \tag{2.64}$$

In the helicity basis, Elko of arbitrary momentum satisfy

$$\xi_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma) = \mathcal{S}\kappa(p^3)\xi(\mathbf{0},\sigma) = \mathcal{S}\xi(p^3,\sigma), \tag{2.65a}$$

$$\zeta_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma) = \mathcal{S}\kappa(p^3)\zeta(\mathbf{0},\sigma) = \mathcal{S}\xi(p^3,\sigma). \tag{2.65b}$$

On the left-hand side we have Elko of arbitrary momentum in the helicity basis while Elko on the right-hand side are defined in the polarisation basis according to eq. (2.6).

To conclude this section, the introduction of direction-dependent non-trivial phases ensure the Elko spin-sums remain the same in both helicity and polarisation basis. Our results show that the Elko spin-sums for any spin are not Lorentz covariant and contain a preferred plane. Consequently, the resulting quantum fields violate Lorentz symmetry. Determining the underlying symmetry for such quantum fields is undoubtedly an important task, but it is not one we will undertake in this paper since our starting point is not suitable for this task. A potentially more appropriate approach is to start with a symmetry group and derive the particle states and quantum fields following the formalism developed by Wigner and Weinberg [36–38].

We should add that on this front, significant progress has been made by Ahluwalia and Horvath [7]. They showed that the Elko spin-sums are covariant under VSR transformations proposed by Cohen and Glashow [8]. However, to show that the Elko fields for any spin satisfy VSR symmetry, one must be able to derive Elko from first principle. This task has not yet been accomplished. Therefore, we leave this as an open problem for future investigation. For now, in the context of this paper, the next task is to derive the equations of motion for Elko in the momentum space.

2.3 Spinor equations

To derive the field equation, it is sufficient to use Elko in the polarisation basis defined by eq. (2.6). From eqs. (2.65a) and (2.65b), we can see that the field equations for Elko remain unchanged in both helicity and polarisation basis.

The field equation can be derived by exploiting the following general identity in the $(j,0)\oplus(0,j)$ representation

$$\kappa(p)\Gamma\kappa^{-1}(\mathbf{p})=\begin{pmatrix} O & \exp(2\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi})\\ \exp(-2\mathbf{J}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}) & O\end{pmatrix}\equiv\frac{(-1)^{2j}}{m^{2j}}\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}p_{\mu_1}\cdots p_{\mu_{2j}}, \tag{2.66}$$

$$\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}\gamma^{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{2j}}p_{\mu_1}\cdots p_{\mu_{2j}}p_{\nu_1}\cdots p_{\nu_{2j}}=(p^\mu p_\mu)^{2j}=m^{4j}. \tag{2.67}$$

where $\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}$ is a generalisation of the spin-half γ^μ matrices [36, app. A]. These matrices are completely symmetric in all indices and is a rank-$2j$ tensor with respect to the Lorentz transformation of the $(j,0)\oplus(0,j)$ representation. Here we shall work with $\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)$ leaving the phase ϑ unfixed for convenience. This is possible because it does not affect the final results. To see how eq. (2.66) helps us, we compute $\Gamma\chi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)$ and find

$$\Gamma\chi(\mathbf{0},\sigma)=\pm\vartheta f(j)(-1)^{-j+\sigma}\chi(\mathbf{0},-\sigma) \tag{2.68}$$

where $f(j)$ is defined as

$$f(j)=\begin{cases}1 & j=\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots\\ i & j=1,2,\cdots\end{cases} \tag{2.69}$$

To derive eq. (2.68), it is easier to consider the bosonic and fermionic Elko independently. The ± sign originates from taking the square root of eq. (2.27)

$$c^*=\pm(-1)^{j+1/2}c,\qquad d^*=\pm(-1)^{j+1/2}d. \tag{2.70}$$

For generality, we keep the freedom of the ± sign but the choice is inconsequential since the field equation is insensitive to them. Multiply eq. (2.68) from the left by $\kappa(\mathbf{p})$ and using eq. (2.66), we obtain

$$\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}p_{\mu_1}\cdots p_{\mu_{2j}}\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)=\pm f(j)(-1)^{-j+\sigma}\vartheta m^{2j}\chi(\mathbf{p},-\sigma) \tag{2.71a}$$

$$\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}p_{\mu_1}\cdots p_{\mu_{2j}}\chi(\mathbf{p},-\sigma)=\mp f^{-1}(j)(-1)^{j-\sigma}\vartheta m^{2j}\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma). \tag{2.71b}$$

The above equations show that Elko for any spin does not satisfy the usual Lorentz-invariant higher spin equations.[9] Instead the operator $\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}p_{\mu_1}\cdots p_{\mu_{2j}}$ maps $\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)$ to $\chi(\mathbf{p},-\sigma)$. Substituting eq. (2.71b) into eq. (2.71a) or vice versa, we obtain

$$\left(\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}\gamma^{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{2j}}p_{\mu_1}\cdots p_{\mu_{2j}}p_{\nu_1}\cdots p_{\nu_{2j}}-m^{4j}\right)\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)=0. \tag{2.72}$$

But from eq. (2.67), this is just the Klein-Gordon equation so we get

$$(p^\mu p_\mu-m^2)\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)=0 \tag{2.73}$$

and consequently

$$(p^\mu p_\mu-m^2)\xi_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)=(p^\mu p_\mu-m^2)\zeta_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)=0. \tag{2.74}$$

Unlike the expansion coefficients for a massive Lorentz invariant quantum field of the $(j,0)\oplus(0,j)$ representation, Elko does not satisfy the generalised higher spin Dirac equation. Instead it satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation for any spin. This result suggests an interesting consequence, that the Elko fields for any spin have mass-dimension one and renormalisable self-interactions. We confirm this result in sec. 3.1 by computing the propagator.

3 Mass-dimension one fields

In this section, we explicitly construct quantum fields using Elko in the helicity basis as expansion coefficients. The unusual properties of Elko that we have encountered are naturally inherited by the field operators and will be revealed once we derive the free propagator and Lagrangian density. During the process, without losing generality, we will also determine the remaining proportionality constants of Elko.

We take the Elko field with the appropriate normalisation to be

$$\Lambda(x)=(2\pi)^{-3/2}\int\frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{2mp^0}}\sum_\sigma\left[e^{-ip\cdot x}\xi_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)a(\mathbf{p},\sigma)+e^{ip\cdot x}\zeta_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\right] \tag{3.1}$$

where the creation and annihilation operators satisfy the canonical algebra

$$[a(\mathbf{p},\sigma),a^\ddagger(\mathbf{p}',\sigma')]_\pm=[b(\mathbf{p},\sigma),b^\ddagger(\mathbf{p}',\sigma')]_\pm=\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta^3(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}') \tag{3.2}$$

while all other combinations vanish. The particles and anti-particles are created by acting $a^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)$ and $b^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)$ on the vacuum $|\,\rangle$. The operator ‡ has the same operational meaning as Hermitian conjugation when applied to the particle state but its action on the coefficients is different. We define its operation on Elko as

---

[9] See app. A for details.

$$\xi_h^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma) \equiv \overline{\xi}_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\Gamma, \tag{3.3a}$$

$$\zeta_h^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma) \equiv \overline{\zeta}_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\Gamma. \tag{3.3b}$$

Therefore, the adjoint of the quantum field is given by

$$\overline{\Lambda}(x) \equiv (2\pi)^{-3/2}\int \frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{2mp^0}}\sum_\sigma \left[e^{ip\cdot x}\,\overline{\xi}_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)a^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma) + e^{-ip\cdot x}\,\overline{\zeta}_h(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\right]. \tag{3.4}$$

The work of Ahluwalia and Horvath [7] suggested that Elko satisfies VSR symmetry proposed by Cohen and Glashow [8]. Since the VSR groups are subgroups of the Poincaré group, we expect $\Lambda(x)$ and $\overline{\Lambda}(x)$ to preserve certain properties of Lorentz invariant field theories. In this spirit, we compute $[\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t),\overline{\Lambda}(\mathbf{y},t)]_\pm$ to examine the spin-statistics and locality structure of the quantum field. Using eq. (3.2), we get

$$[\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t),\overline{\Lambda}(\mathbf{y},t)]_\pm = (2\pi)^{-3}\int \frac{d^3p}{2mp^0}e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}\left[N_h(\mathbf{p}) \pm M_h(-\mathbf{p})\right]. \tag{3.5}$$

The term in the square bracket reads

$$N_h(\mathbf{p}) \pm M_h(-\mathbf{p}) = im\beta(j)\left(c^{*2} \mp d^{*2}\right) + m\beta(j)(-1)^{2j}\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})\left(|c|^2 \mp |d|^2\right) \tag{3.6}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) = -\mathcal{A}(-\mathbf{p})$ and

$$-\,\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}|_{\phi\rightarrow\phi\pm\pi,\theta\rightarrow\pi-\theta}. \tag{3.7}$$

The plus and minus sign apply when the momentum along the 2-axis is positive or negative respectively. Substituting eq. (3.6) into eq. (3.4), we get

$$[\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t),\overline{\Lambda}(\mathbf{y},t)]_\pm = (2\pi)^{-3}\int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}\left[i\beta(j)\left(c^{*2} \mp d^{*2}\right) + \beta(j)(-1)^{2j}\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})\left(|c|^2 \mp |d|^2\right)\right]. \tag{3.8}$$

For the fermionic field, the anti-commutator identically vanishes

$$[\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t),\overline{\Lambda}(\mathbf{y},t)]_+ = 0, \qquad j = \frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots \tag{3.9}$$

provided that we choose

$$c^{*2} = d^{*2}, \qquad j = \frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots. \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, for the bosonic field, if $c^{*2} = d^{*2}$ then the first term of the commutator would be proportional to the standard function

$$\Delta_+(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = (2\pi)^{-3}\int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})} \tag{3.11}$$

which is non-vanishing for all $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}$. Therefore, we must choose

$$c^{*2} = -d^{*2}, \qquad j = 1,2,\cdots. \tag{3.12}$$

The resulting bosonic commutator becomes

$$[\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t),\overline{\Lambda}(\mathbf{y},t)]_{-}=|c|^2\beta(j)(2\pi)^{-3}\int\frac{d^3p}{p^0}e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}),\qquad j=1,2,\cdots \tag{3.13}$$

Aligning $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}$ to the 3-axis, a simple computation in the spherical coordinate then shows that the commutator identically vanishes. For the spin-half case, in the absence of a preferred direction in the vector space, de Oliveira and Rodrigues have shown explicitly that the integral over $\mathcal{A}^{(j=1/2)}(\mathbf{p})$ vanishes for arbitrary direction [39]. It would be interesting to see if their result can be generalised to any spin. However, since Elko violates Lorentz symmetry and the spin-sums contain a preferred plane, it is possible that the space-time in which Elko resides has a non-trivial topology thus rendering the integral over $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})$ non-vanishing unless $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}$ is aligned along the 3-axis.

3.1 Free propagator

We are now ready to derive the free propagator. Using the quantum field and its adjoint, the propagator may be obtained by computing $\langle\ |T[\Lambda(x),\overline{\Lambda}(y)]|\ \rangle$. Substituting the Elko spin-sums into the generic expression of the propagator given by eq. (C.10), we obtain the bosonic and fermionic propagator

$$S(y,x)=i\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-iq\cdot(x-y)}\left[\frac{i\beta(j)c^{*2}+(q^0/\sqrt{|\mathbf{q}|^2+m^2})\beta(j)|c|^2\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{q})}{q^\mu q_\mu-m^2+i\epsilon}\right],\ j=1,2,\cdots \tag{3.14a}$$

$$S(y,x)=i\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-iq\cdot(x-y)}\left[\frac{i\beta(j)c^{*2}-\beta(j)|c|^2\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{q})}{q^\mu q_\mu-m^2+i\epsilon}\right],\ j=\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots \tag{3.14b}$$

Equations (3.14a) and (3.14b) are the most important result of this paper. In the absence of non-trivial topology and preferred direction, both propagators are identical to the Klein-Gordon propagator up some multiplicative constants. It follows that the Elko fields for any spin all have mass-dimension one. This result is in stark contrast with the well-known result that the massive Lorentz-invariant fields of the $(j,0)\oplus(0,j)$ representation are of mass-dimension $j+1$. But in hindsight, since Elko violates Lorentz symmetry, the general properties of Lorentz invariant field theories may no longer be applicable.

Depending on the underlying symmetry for Elko, it is unclear whether the integral over $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{q})$ would vanish for arbitrary direction. As a result, the propagator may not generally not be a Green's function of the Klein-Gordon operator $\partial^\mu\partial_\mu+m^2$ where $\partial_\mu=\partial/\partial x^\mu$. In spite of this, given that Elko satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation, we propose that the local Lagrangian density for $\Lambda(x)$ and $\overline{\Lambda}(x)$ for any spin with renormalisable self-interaction is

$$\mathscr{L}=\partial^\mu\overline{\Lambda}\partial_\mu\Lambda-m^2\overline{\Lambda}\Lambda-\frac{g}{4}(\overline{\Lambda}\Lambda)^2 \tag{3.15}$$

where g is the coupling constant. By local, we mean the Lagrangian density only depends on one space-time coordinate. The term in the propagator that is proportional to $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{q})$ is non-local since it has both x and y dependence. In space-time with non-trivial topology, if we want to cancel this term in the interactions, a non-local interacting term must be added to eq. (3.15). Having obtained a Lagrangian density, in the next two sections, we investigate the spin-statistics properties of the Elko fields and compute the free Hamiltonian.

3.2 Canonical variables

The particles and anti-particles we have introduced are by construction, physically distinct. As a result, the corresponding Lagrangian density has two independent variables $\Lambda(x)$ and $\bar{\Lambda}(x)$ and are accompanied by their respective conjugate momenta $\Pi(x)$ and $\bar{\Pi}(x)$.

We define the conjugate momenta to be

$$\Pi(x) \equiv \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial(\partial\Lambda/\partial t)}, \qquad \bar{\Pi}(x) \equiv (-1)^{2j} \frac{\partial \mathscr{L}}{\partial(\partial\bar{\Lambda}/\partial t)}. \tag{3.16}$$

In sec. 3.3, we show that the factor $(-1)^{2j}$ required in order to obtain physical free Hamiltonians. Here this is necessary if we want the canonical variables to satisfy

$$[Q_n(\mathbf{x},t), P_m(\mathbf{y},t)]_{\pm} \sim i\delta_{nm}\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \qquad n,m = 1,2 \tag{3.17}$$

up to a real positive constant with

$$Q_1(x) = \Lambda(x), \qquad Q_2(x) = \bar{\Lambda}(x) \tag{3.18}$$

$$P_1(x) = \Pi(x), \qquad P_2(x) = \bar{\Pi}(x). \tag{3.19}$$

A straightforward computation gives us the following equal-time bosonic commutator

$$[\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t), \Pi(\mathbf{y},t)]_- = [\bar{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x},t), \bar{\Pi}(\mathbf{y},t)]_- = -\beta(j)c^{*2}\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \qquad j = 1,2,\cdots \tag{3.20}$$

and fermionic anti-commutator

$$\begin{aligned}[\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t), \Pi(\mathbf{y},t)]_+ &= -\beta(j)c^{*2}\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \\ &\quad -i\beta(j)|c|^2(2\pi)^{-3}\int d^3p\, e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}), \qquad j = \frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots\end{aligned} \tag{3.21a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\bar{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x},t), \bar{\Pi}(\mathbf{y},t)]_+ &= -\beta(j)c^{*2}\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \\ &\quad -i\beta(j)|c|^2(2\pi)^{-3}\int d^3p\, e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}), \qquad j = \frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots.\end{aligned} \tag{3.21b}$$

We see that the bosonic commutator is consistent with our expectation while the fermionic anti-commutator contains an additional integral over $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})$.[10] Here the former result is original and the later can be seen as a generalisation of the spin-half anti-commutator obtained in refs. [1–4].

Comparing the above expressions with eq.(3.17), we see that the coefficient $-\beta(j)c^{*2}$ of the Dirac delta function must be an imaginary number. Had we not introduced the factor $(-1)^{2j}$ for $\bar{\Pi}(x)$, the first term of eqs. (3.21a) and (3.21b) would differ by a minus sign and becomes inconsistent with eq. (3.17).

---

[10] See sec. 3.1 for discussion on the $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})$ term.

3.3 Free Hamiltonian

The signs of the proportionality constant in eq. (3.17) may not be particularly important. But the overall sign of a Hamiltonian is important since it determines whether the theory is physical or not. Below we show that using the conjugate momenta defined in eq. (3.16), we obtain the desired positive-definite free Hamiltonians for any spin.

We compute the free Hamiltonian using the Legendre transformation

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \int d^3x \sum_n P_n(x)\dot{Q}_n(x) - \mathscr{L}_0 \\ &= \int d^3x \left[(-1)^{2j}\frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\overset{\neg}{\Lambda}}{\partial t} - \partial_i\overset{\neg}{\Lambda}\partial^i\Lambda + m^2\overset{\neg}{\Lambda}\Lambda\right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Substituting $\Lambda(x)$ and $\overset{\neg}{\Lambda}(x)$ into H_0, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 = \int \frac{d^3p}{m}p^0\sum_\sigma \Big[&\overset{\neg}{\xi}(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\xi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)[a^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)a(\mathbf{p},\sigma)+\delta(\mathbf{0})] \\ &+\overset{\neg}{\zeta}(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\zeta(\mathbf{p},\sigma)[(-1)^{2j}b^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b(\mathbf{p},\sigma)+\delta(\mathbf{0})]\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Although we do not show the explicit calculation here, it is important to remark that if the $(-1)^{2j}$ factor is absent from $\overset{\neg}{\Pi}(x)$, the cross-terms proportional to $a(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b(-\mathbf{p},\sigma')$ and $a^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b^\ddagger(-\mathbf{p},\sigma')$ would be non-vanishing. Therefore, the introduction of $(-1)^{2j}$ to $\overset{\neg}{\Pi}(x)$ is crucial in ensuring that the free fermionic Hamiltonian is diagonal. Also, we see that the norms of the self-conjugate and anti-self conjugate Elko must be identical within their respective sectors so they contribute equally to the free Hamiltonian.

Using eq. (2.27) and the Elko norms given in eqs. (2.28a) and (2.28b), we see that for the fermionic Hamiltonian, the divergent terms proportional to $\delta^3(\mathbf{0})$ cancel giving us

$$H_0 = 2i\beta(j)c^{*2}\int d^3p\sum_\sigma p^0\left[a^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)a(\mathbf{p},\sigma)+b^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\right],\quad j=\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots \tag{3.24}$$

Therefore, the fermionic field for Elko has vanishing vacuum energy. Setting the proportionality constants of the fermionic field and its adjoint to be

$$\beta(j)=-i,\qquad c=d=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},\qquad j=\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots \tag{3.25}$$

we obtain the desired free fermionic Hamiltonian with no vacuum energy

$$H_0 = \int d^3p\sum_\sigma p^0\left[a^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)a(\mathbf{p},\sigma)+b^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\right],\quad j=\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},\cdots. \tag{3.26}$$

Performing a similar computation for the bosonic field, in order to obtain a physical Hamiltonian, we set the proportionality constants to

$$\beta(j)=1,\qquad c=d^*=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\pi/4},\qquad j=1,2,\cdots \tag{3.27}$$

which gives us

$$H_0 = \int d^3p \sum_\sigma p^0 \left[a^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)a(\mathbf{p},\sigma) + b^\ddagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b(\mathbf{p},\sigma) + 2\delta^3(\mathbf{0}) \right], \qquad j = 1, 2, \cdots . \tag{3.28}$$

Unlike the fermions, the vacuum energy is positive and non-vanishing, but since only the shift in vacuum energy is measurable, the divergent term can be ignored. It is helpful to remind ourselves that it is unusual for the fermionic field to have vanishing vacuum energy. In Lorentz invariant field theories, the free Hamiltonians of bosons and fermions have positive and negative divergent vacuum energies respectively.

We have now determine all the proportionality constants. Substituting them into the canonical commutators and anti-commutators we obtain

$$[\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t), \Pi(\mathbf{y},t)]_- = [\bar{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x},t), \bar{\Pi}(\mathbf{y},t)]_- = \frac{i}{2}\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \qquad j = 1, 2, \cdots \tag{3.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\Lambda(\mathbf{x},t), \Pi(\mathbf{y},t)]_+ &= \frac{i}{2}\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{-3}\int d^3p\, e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}), \qquad j = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{3.30a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\bar{\Lambda}(\mathbf{x},t), \bar{\Pi}(\mathbf{y},t)]_+ &= \frac{i}{2}\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{-3}\int d^3p\, e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}), \qquad j = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \cdots . \end{aligned} \tag{3.30b}$$

We see that the demand for physical Hamiltonians forces the canonical algebra to be modified by a factor of 1/2. This factor cannot be absorbed into the fields for it would then change the free Hamiltonians by a factor of 2.

Also, substituting these constants into the propagators, we obtain

$$S(y,x) = \frac{i}{2}\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-iq\cdot(x-y)} \left[\frac{I - i(q^0/\sqrt{|\mathbf{q}|^2+m^2})\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{q})}{q^\mu q_\mu - m^2 + i\epsilon} \right], \qquad j = 1, 2, \cdots \tag{3.31a}$$

$$S(y,x) = \frac{i}{2}\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-iq\cdot(x-y)} \left[\frac{I + i\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{q})}{q^\mu q_\mu - m^2 + i\epsilon} \right], \qquad j = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \cdots . \tag{3.31b}$$

A complete investigation on the properties of propagators would require us to compute scattering amplitudes. But without first determining the underlying symmetry, any brute force computation of the scattering amplitudes would be premature. In spite of this, the propagators given by eqs. (3.31a) and (3.31b) already provide us important information, that the Elko fields for any spin have mass-dimension one and renormalisable self-interactions.

4 Conclusions

In refs. [1–4], it was shown that contrary to conventional wisdom, there exists spin-half quantum fields of mass-dimension one and satisfy the Klein-Gordon but not the Dirac equation. These fields constructed using spin-half Elko as expansion coefficients are Lorentz violating and have renormalisable self-interactions. The results obtained in this paper are a direct generalisation of these works. The properties of spin-half Elko are naturally inherited by their higher-spin generalisations. As our primary focus is on the generalising the spin-half Elko fields to any spin, we did not study the interactions. This is also due to the reason that since we do not know the symmetry of Elko, any brute force computation of scattering amplitudes would be in vain. But this is definitely an important issue to be addressed in the future.

It should be noted that our work may not be of pure academic interest. In the above references, it was argued that the fermions described by the spin-half Elko fields are potential dark matter candidates due to renormalisable self-interactions and limited electromagnetic interactions. Since these properties are also present for the higher-spin Elko fields, it follows that they are also likely dark matter candidates. If dark matter exists, they are unlikely to be comprised entirely of one single specie. Our construct thus offers a possible solution since it contains both bosonic and fermionic particles.

While there are stringent limits on Lorentz symmetry violation, they do not immediately rule out our construct as these experiments were conducted using only SM particles. In other words, there are no direct evidence suggesting that dark matter must satisfy Lorentz symmetry [40]. Ahluwalia and Horvath have shown that the spin-half Elko spin-sums are VSR covariant [7]. Their results raise the possibility that the space-time symmetry for dark matter may be dictated by VSR and not Lorentz symmetry [41]. Although we did not pursue this line of research here, the VSR groups may ultimtaely provide us a framework to compute reliable scattering amplitudes.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank D. V. Ahluwalia for his helpful suggestions.

A Lorentz invariant fields for any spin

Let $\Psi(x)$ be a Lorentz invariant massive quantum field of the $(j,0)\oplus(0,j)$ representation

$$\Psi(x)=(2\pi)^{-3/2}\int\frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{2p^0}}\sum_{\sigma}\left[e^{-ip\cdot x}u(\mathbf{p},\sigma)a(\mathbf{p},\sigma)+e^{ip\cdot x}v(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\right] \tag{A.1}$$

The expansion coefficients at rest determined by Lorentz symmetry with the appropriate normalisation are given by

$$u(\mathbf{0},j)=m^j\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\\vdots\\0\\1\\0\\\vdots\\0\end{pmatrix},\ u(\mathbf{0},j-1)=m^j\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\\vdots\\0\\0\\1\\\vdots\\0\end{pmatrix},\cdots,u(\mathbf{0},-j)=m^j\begin{pmatrix}0\\\vdots\\0\\1\\0\\\vdots\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}\tag{A.2}$$

$$v(\mathbf{0},j)=m^j\begin{pmatrix}0\\\vdots\\0\\(-1)^{2j+1}\\0\\\vdots\\0\\-1\end{pmatrix},v(\mathbf{0},j-1)=m^j\begin{pmatrix}0\\\vdots\\(-1)^{2j}\\0\\0\\\vdots\\1\\0\end{pmatrix},\cdots,v(\mathbf{0},-j)=m^j\begin{pmatrix}-1\\0\\\vdots\\0\\(-1)^{2j+1}\\0\\\vdots\\0\end{pmatrix}.\tag{A.3}$$

The expansion coefficents of arbitrary momentum are obtained by

$$u(\mathbf{p},\sigma)=\kappa(\mathbf{p})u(\mathbf{0},\sigma),\tag{A.4a}$$

$$v(\mathbf{p},\sigma)=\kappa(\mathbf{p})v(\mathbf{0},\sigma)\tag{A.4b}$$

and they satisfy the following field equations

$$(\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}p_{\mu_1}\cdots p_{\mu_{2j}}-m^{2j})u(\mathbf{p},\sigma)=0,\tag{A.5a}$$

$$[\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}p_{\mu_1}\cdots p_{\mu_{2j}}-(-1)^{2j}m^{2j}]v(\mathbf{p},\sigma)=0.\tag{A.5b}$$

The explicit expression of $\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}$ up to spin-two can be found in ref. [42]. Consequently, the field equation for $\Psi(x)$ is

$$\left[(i)^{2j}\gamma^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{2j}}\partial_{\mu_1}\cdots\partial_{\mu_{2j}}-m^{2j}\right]\Psi(x)=0.\tag{A.6}$$

Our main purpose here is to derive the charge-conjugation matrix $\mathcal{C}$ needed to construct Elko for any spin. To achieve this, we start with the standard definition of charge-conjugation operator C acting on the creation and annihilation operators

$$\mathsf{C}a(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\mathsf{C}^{-1}=\varsigma^*b(\mathbf{p},\sigma),\qquad \mathsf{C}b^\dagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\mathsf{C}^{-1}=\bar{\varsigma}a^\dagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\tag{A.7}$$

where ς and $\bar{\varsigma}$ are the charge-conjugation phases. Therefore, the field $\Psi(x)$ transforms as

$$\mathsf{C}\Psi(x)\mathsf{C}^{-1}=(2\pi)^{-3/2}\int\frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{2p^0}}\sum_\sigma\left[e^{-ip\cdot x}\varsigma^*u(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b(\mathbf{p},\sigma)+e^{ip\cdot x}\bar{\varsigma}v(\mathbf{p},\sigma)a^\dagger(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\right].\tag{A.8}$$

The expansion coefficients satisfy the following identities

$$\mathcal{C}u(\mathbf{p},\sigma) = iv(\mathbf{p},\sigma), \qquad \mathcal{C}v(\mathbf{p},\sigma) = iu(\mathbf{p},\sigma) \tag{A.9}$$

where charge-conjugation matrix is defined by

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} O & -i\Theta^{-1} \\ -i\Theta & O \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} \tag{A.10}$$

with $\mathcal{K}$ being the complex conjugation operator acting to the right. Setting $\varsigma^* = \bar{\varsigma}$ and using eq. (A.9), we obtain

$$\mathsf{C}\Psi(x)\mathsf{C}^{-1} = -i\varsigma^*\mathcal{C}\Psi(x). \tag{A.11}$$

B Spin-half Elko

We define a four-component spinor $\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)$ as

$$\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta\Theta\phi^*(\mathbf{p},\sigma) \\ \phi(\mathbf{p},\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.1}$$

where $\Theta = -i\sigma^2$. The spinor $\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)$ becomes the eigenspinors of the charge-conjugation operator $\mathcal{C}$ (and hence Elko) with the following choice of phases

$$\mathcal{C}\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)|_{\vartheta=\pm i} = \pm\chi(\mathbf{p},\sigma)|_{\vartheta=\pm i} \tag{B.2}$$

thus giving us four Elko spinors.

In the helicity basis, we take the left-handed Weyl spinors at rest to be

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\tfrac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{m}\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2)e^{-i\phi/2} \\ \sin(\theta/2)e^{i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{B.3}$$

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},-\tfrac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{m}\begin{pmatrix} -\sin(\theta/2)e^{-i\phi/2} \\ \cos(\theta/2)e^{i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.4}$$

The Elko spinors at rest, are divided into the self-conjugate spinors ($\vartheta = i$) and the anti-self-conjugate spinors ($\vartheta = -i$)[11]

$$\xi(\mathbf{p},\tfrac{1}{2})(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = +\chi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\tfrac{1}{2})|_{\vartheta=+i}, \tag{B.5}$$

$$\xi(\mathbf{p},-\tfrac{1}{2})(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = +\chi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},-\tfrac{1}{2})|_{\vartheta=+i}, \tag{B.6}$$

$$\zeta(\mathbf{p},\tfrac{1}{2})(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = +\chi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},-\tfrac{1}{2})|_{\vartheta=-i}, \tag{B.7}$$

$$\zeta(\mathbf{p},-\tfrac{1}{2})(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = -\chi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\tfrac{1}{2})|_{\vartheta=-i}. \tag{B.8}$$

---

[11] Our labels for the spinors are different to ref. [4]. For the self-conjugate spinor, $\sigma = 1/2, -1/2$ only denotes the eigenvalue of its left-handed component $\phi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\sigma)$ with respect to $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}/2)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{p}}$. Whereas in ref. [4], $\sigma = \pm 1/2, \mp 1/2$. The top and bottom sign denote the eigenvalues of both the right and left-handed component of the self-conjugate spinors respectively.

In the polarisation basis, the Elko spinors takes symbolic form [4]

$$\xi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\tfrac{1}{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} i \Downarrow \\ \Uparrow \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},-\tfrac{1}{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} -i \Uparrow \\ \Downarrow \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.9}$$

$$\zeta(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\tfrac{1}{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} i \Uparrow \\ \Downarrow \end{pmatrix}, \quad \zeta(\boldsymbol{\epsilon},-\tfrac{1}{2}) = -\begin{pmatrix} -i \Downarrow \\ \Uparrow \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.10}$$

where

$$\Uparrow = \sqrt{m}\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Downarrow = \sqrt{m}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.11}$$

They are obtained by taking the $\theta \to 0$ limit of Elko in the helicity basis. The arrows $\Uparrow$ and $\Downarrow$ represent eigenspinors of $J^3 = \sigma^3/2$ with positive and negative eigenvalues respectively.

C Propagator

We compute the most general free-field propagator. Let operator $S(y,x)$ where $x = (t,\mathbf{x})$ and $y = (t',\mathbf{y})$ be the propagator for a general field $\psi(x)$

$$\psi(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2}\int \frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{2p^0}}\sum_{\sigma}\left[e^{-ip\cdot x}u(\mathbf{p},\sigma)a(\mathbf{p},\sigma) + e^{ip\cdot x}v(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\right] \tag{C.1}$$

and its adjoint $\vec{\psi}(x)$

$$\vec{\psi}(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2}\int \frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{2p^0}}\sum_{\sigma}\left[e^{ip\cdot x}\vec{u}(\mathbf{p},\sigma)a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p},\sigma) + e^{-ip\cdot x}\vec{v}(\mathbf{p},\sigma)b(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\right]. \tag{C.2}$$

The propagator $S(y,x)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} S(y,x) &= \langle\ | T[\psi(x)\vec{\psi}(y)] |\ \rangle \\ &= \theta(t-t')\langle\ |\psi(x)\vec{\psi}(y)|\ \rangle \pm \theta(t'-t)\langle\ |\vec{\psi}(y)\psi(x)|\ \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

where T denotes the time-ordered product and $\theta(t)$ is the step function

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \geq 0 \\ 0 & t < 0 \end{cases}. \tag{C.4}$$

The top and bottom signs in eq. (C.3) are for the bosonic and fermionic fields respectively. Computing the vacuum expectation values, the non-vanishing matrix elements are

$$\langle\ |\psi(x)\vec{\psi}(y)|\ \rangle = (2\pi)^{-3}\int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}e^{-ip\cdot(y-x)}N(\mathbf{p}), \tag{C.5}$$

$$\langle\ |\vec{\psi}(y)\psi(x)|\ \rangle = (2\pi)^{-3}\int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}e^{ip\cdot(y-x)}M(\mathbf{p}) \tag{C.6}$$

where

$$N(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\sigma} u(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\vec{u}(\mathbf{p},\sigma), \tag{C.7}$$

$$M(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\sigma} v(\mathbf{p},\sigma)\vec{v}(\mathbf{p},\sigma). \tag{C.8}$$

Using the integral representation of the step function

$$\theta(t) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i}\frac{e^{i\omega t}}{\omega - i\epsilon} \tag{C.9}$$

after some algebraic manipulations and performing the change of variables, $\omega = p^0 - q^0$ and $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}$, we obtain

$$S(y,x) = i\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{e^{-iq\cdot(y-x)}}{(2\sqrt{|\mathbf{q}|^2+m^2})}\left[\frac{\sqrt{|\mathbf{q}|^2+m^2}[N(\mathbf{q}) \pm M(-\mathbf{q})] + q^0[N(\mathbf{q}) \mp M(-\mathbf{q})]}{q^\mu q_\mu - m^2 + i\epsilon}\right]. \tag{C.10}$$

References

[1] D. V. Ahluwalia and D. Grumiller, *Spin half fermions with mass dimension one: Theory, phenomenology, and dark matter*, *JCAP* **0507** (2005) 012, [hep-th/0412080].

[2] D. V. Ahluwalia and D. Grumiller, *Dark matter: A spin one half fermion field with mass dimension one?*, *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 067701, [hep-th/0410192].

[3] D. V. Ahluwalia, C.-Y. Lee, and D. Schritt, *Elko as self-interacting fermionic dark matter with axis of locality*, *Phys.Lett.* **B687** (2010) 248–252, [arXiv:0804.1854].

[4] D. V. Ahluwalia, C.-Y. Lee, and D. Schritt, *Self-interacting Elko dark matter with an axis of locality*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 065017, [arXiv:0911.2947].

[5] D. V. Ahluwalia, *Theory of neutral particles: McLennan-Case construct for neutrino, its generalization, and a fundamentally new wave equation*, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A11** (1996) 1855–1874, [hep-th/9409134].

[6] C.-Y. Lee, *Symmetries of Elko and massive vector fields*, *Doctoral thesis, University of Canterbury* (2012) 198 p.

[7] D. V. Ahluwalia and S. P. Horvath, *Very special relativity as relativity of dark matter: The Elko connection*, *JHEP* **1011** (2010) 078, [arXiv:1008.0436].

[8] A. G. Cohen and S. L. Glashow, *Very special relativity*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **97** (2006) 021601, [hep-ph/0601236].

[9] C. G. Boehmer, J. Burnett, D. F. Mota, and D. J. Shaw, *Dark spinor models in gravitation and cosmology*, *JHEP* **1007** (2010) 053, [arXiv:1003.3858].

[10] C. G. Boehmer and J. Burnett, *Dark Spinors*, arXiv:1001.1141.

[11] C. G. Boehmer and J. Burnett, *Dark energy with dark spinors*, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A25** (2010) 101–110, [arXiv:0906.1351].

[12] C. G. Boehmer and J. Burnett, *Dark spinors with torsion in cosmology*, *Phys.Rev.* **D78** (2008) 104001, [arXiv:0809.0469].

[13] C. G. Boehmer, *Dark spinor inflation: Theory primer and dynamics*, *Phys.Rev.* **D77** (2008) 123535, [arXiv:0804.0616].

[14] C. G. Boehmer and D. F. Mota, *CMB Anisotropies and Inflation from Non-Standard Spinors*, *Phys.Lett.* **B663** (2008) 168–171, [arXiv:0710.2003].

[15] C. G. Boehmer, *The Einstein-Elko system: Can dark matter drive inflation?*, *Annalen Phys.* **16** (2007) 325–341, [`gr-qc/0701087`].

[16] C. G. Boehmer, *The Einstein-Cartan-Elko system*, *Annalen Phys.* **16** (2007) 38–44, [`gr-qc/0607088`].

[17] G. Chee, *Stability of de Sitter solutions sourced by dark spinors*, `arXiv:1007.0554`.

[18] H. Wei, *Spinor dark energy and cosmological coincidence problem*, *Phys.Lett.* **B695** (2011) 307–311, [`arXiv:1002.4230`].

[19] S. Shankaranarayanan, *Dark spinor driven inflation*, `arXiv:1002.1128`.

[20] S. Shankaranarayanan, *What-if inflaton is a spinor condensate?*, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **D18** (2009) 2173–2179, [`arXiv:0905.2573`].

[21] D. Gredat and S. Shankaranarayanan, *Modified scalar and tensor spectra in spinor driven inflation*, *JCAP* **1001** (2010) 008, [`arXiv:0807.3336`].

[22] Y.-X. Liu, X.-N. Zhou, K. Yang, and F.-W. Chen, *Localization of 5D Elko spinors on Minkowski branes*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 064012, [`arXiv:1107.2506`].

[23] J. Hoff da Silva and R. da Rocha, *From Dirac action to ELKO action*, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A24** (2009) 3227–3242, [`arXiv:0903.2815`].

[24] R. da Rocha and J. Hoff da Silva, *ELKO, flagpole and flag-dipole spinor fields, and the instanton Hopf fibration*, *Adv.Appl.Clifford Algebras* **20** (2010) 847–870, [`arXiv:0811.2717`].

[25] R. da Rocha and J. M. Hoff da Silva, *From Dirac spinor fields to ELKO*, *J.Math.Phys.* **48** (2007) 123517, [`arXiv:0711.1103`].

[26] R. da Rocha and J. Rodrigues, Waldyr Alves, *Where are ELKO spinor fields in Lounesto spinor field classification?*, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A21** (2006) 65–74, [`math-ph/0506075`].

[27] R. da Rocha and J. Hoff da Silva, *ELKO spinor fields: Lagrangians for gravity derived from supergravity*, *Int.J.Geom.Meth.Mod.Phys.* **6** (2009) 461–477, [`arXiv:0901.0883`].

[28] R. da Rocha, A. E. Bernardini, and J. Hoff da Silva, *Exotic dark spinor fields*, *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 110, [`arXiv:1103.4759`].

[29] A. Bernardini and R. da Rocha, *Dynamical dispersion relation for ELKO dark spinor fields*, *Phys.Lett.* **B717** (2012) 238–241, [`arXiv:1203.1049`].

[30] L. Fabbri, *Zero energy of plane-waves for ELKOs*, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **43** (2011) 1607–1613, [`arXiv:1008.0334`].

[31] L. Fabbri, *Causality for ELKOs*, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A25** (2010) 2483–2488, [`arXiv:0911.5304`].

[32] L. Fabbri, *Causal propagation for ELKO fields*, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A25** (2010) 151–157, [`arXiv:0911.2622`].

[33] K. E. Wunderle and R. Dick, *A supersymmetric Lagrangian for fermionic fields with mass dimension one*, `arXiv:1010.0963`.

[34] K. E. Wunderle and R. Dick, *Coupling of fermionic fields with mass dimensions one to the O'Raifeartaigh model*, `arXiv:1208.4674`.

[35] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum theory of fields. Vol. 1: Foundations*, *Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr.* (1995) 609 p.

[36] S. Weinberg, *Feynman rules for any spin*, *Phys. Rev.* **133** (1964) B1318–B1332.

[37] E. P. Wigner, *On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group*, *Annals Math.* **40** (1939) 149–204.

[38] S. Weinberg, *Feynman rules for any spin. 2. Massless particles*, *Phys. Rev.* **134** (1964) B882–B896.

[39] E. C. de Oliveira and J. Rodrigues, Waldyr Alves, *Does Elko spinor field imply the existence of an axis of locality?*, `arXiv:1210.7207`.

[40] D. Blas, M. M. Ivanov, and S. Sibiryakov, *Testing Lorentz invariance of dark matter*, `arXiv:1209.0464`.

[41] D. Ahluwalia, *Towards a relativity of dark-matter rods and clocks*, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **D18** (2009) 2311–2316, [`arXiv:0904.0066`]. Revised version with minor changes. This essay received an 'honorable mention' in the 2009 Essay Competition of the Gravity Research Foundation. Submitted to IJMPD Special Issue.

[42] D. V. Ahluwalia, *Relativistic quantum field theory of high spin matter fields: A Pragmatic approach for hadronic physics*, *Doctoral thesis, Texas A&M University* (1991) 241 p.